UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,573	10/20/2003	Robert M. Zeidman	ZEID-01	2483
	7590 11/12/200 CHNOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
15565 SWISS (			WANG, BEN C	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/688,573	ZEIDMAN, ROBERT M.				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BEN C. WANG	2192				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jim H. Salter (reg. No. 35,668)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 November 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 3, 5, 7, 15, 17, 19, 21-22, 24, and 26</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Jie Liu et al.</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  * The Parties discussed rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) regarding the Office Action dated September 11, 2008  * Applicant's representative(s) also compared the subject matter of 'init-task' to the cited prior art of Jie Liu et al.  * The examiner will reconsider the case upon receiving further amendment response from the applicant(s).  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS						
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRETATION						
/Ben C Wang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192						